

1. There are many ways how to attack a standard e-banking system. First, an attacker can distribute malware that logs all kinds of passwords. Secondly, an attacker can send out forged e-mails that instruct bank customers to send passwords to a certain mail account. Thirdly, an attacker can attack the underlying cryptographic protection mechanism. When the attacker has a control over the account, he or she has to withdraw the money through an auxiliary account belonging to a mule. This poses a risk as mules do not always deliver the money to attacker's account.

Compute a success probabilities of all attack scenarios and find the one with highest expected gain, given only some estimates of conditional probabilities. Namely, let **Malware**, **Phishing** and **CryptoBreak** denote success in the first attack step. Let **Detect** denote the event that an unauthorised bank transfer or the attack itself is detected. Finally, let **Cheat** denote the event that mule cheats and the attacker does not get the money. Then

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \Pr[\text{Malware}] = 10^{-3} & \Pr[\text{Detect}|\text{Malware}] = 10^{-4} \\
 \Pr[\text{Phishing}] = 10^{-2} & \Pr[\text{Detect}|\text{Phishing}] = 1 \\
 \Pr[\text{CryptoBreak}] = 10^{-27} & \Pr[\text{Detect}|\text{CryptoBreak}] = 0 \\
 \Pr[\text{Detect}|\text{Draw } 100] = 10^{-2} & \Pr[\text{Cheat}|\text{Draw } 100] = 0 \\
 \Pr[\text{Detect}|\text{Draw } 1000] = 10^{-1} & \Pr[\text{Cheat}|\text{Draw } 1000] = 10^{-1} \\
 \Pr[\text{Detect}|\text{Draw } 10000] = 1 & \Pr[\text{Cheat}|\text{Draw } 1000] = 10^{-2}
 \end{array}$$

What is probability that the corresponding attacks remain unnoticed?

2. Bob has a biased coin such that in each throw the probability of getting a tail is α . Additionally, assume that all coin tosses are independent.
 - (a) How many throws are needed on average to see the first tail?
 - (b) How many throws are needed on average to see k tails?

Now consider a scenario, where Bob must see at least two tails to succeed.

- (c) How many throws are needed to succeed with probability at least $\frac{1}{2}$? Give a simple and safe upper bound on the number of throws.
- (d) Show that Bob must make at least $\Omega(\frac{1}{\alpha})$ throws to achieve constant success probability in the process $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.
- (e) How many throws are needed to achieve exponentially small failure probability ε ?

Hints: Use Markov's and Chebyshev's inequalities. Answers of the questions (c) and (e) are tightly connected.

3. A cryptosystem is a triple of algorithms $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D})$ such that the equality $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}(m, k), k) = m$ holds for all messages $m \in \mathcal{M}$ and keys $k \leftarrow \mathcal{K}$. Cryptosystem is perfectly secure if a ciphertext c reveals nothing about the corresponding message m , i.e., $\Pr[m|c] = \Pr[m]$.
- Prove that cryptosystem is perfectly secure only if $H(m|c) = H(m)$. What about the implication to the other direction?
 - Show that $H(k, m, c) \geq H(m|c) + H(c)$. For which enciphering algorithms does the equality $H(k, m, c) = H(m|c) + H(c)$ hold?
 - Show that $H(k, m, c) = H(k) + H(c|k)$. Conclude that cryptosystem is perfectly secure only if $H(k) \geq H(m)$.
 - Show that $H(k|c) = H(m) + H(k) + H(c|m, k) - H(c)$. What does the result mean in practise?
4. Estimate how much time is needed to break the following three file encryption methods without using cipher-specific attacks.
- The file is encrypted with 128-bit AES cipher and the key is stored in a special file that is protected with a password. Namely, the key is encrypted with another key that is derived from the password.
 - The file is encrypted with old 56-bit DES cipher and the key is stored in the special file that is encrypted with a public key. The corresponding secret key is stored in the ID card.
 - The file is encrypted with 80-bit IDEA cipher and the key is stored in the special file that is encrypted with a public key. The corresponding secret key is stored in the TPM chip.
5. Let \mathcal{X}_0 be a uniform distribution over \mathbb{Z}_{16} and let \mathcal{X}_1 be a uniform distribution over $\{0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14\}$.
- What is the statistical difference between \mathcal{X}_0 and \mathcal{X}_1 ?
 - Find an distinguishing strategy \mathcal{A} that minimises the ratio of false positives $\beta(\mathcal{A})$ and achieves false negative rate $\alpha(\mathcal{A}) = 0\%$.
 - Find an distinguishing strategy \mathcal{A} that minimises the ratio of false positives $\beta(\mathcal{A})$ and achieves false negative rate $\alpha(\mathcal{A}) \leq 50\%$.
 - Generalise the distinguishing strategy and find minimal ratio of false positives $\beta(\mathcal{A})$ for all bounds $\alpha(\mathcal{A}) \leq \alpha_0$.
6. Normally, it is impossible to compute computational distance between two distributions directly since the number of potential distinguishing algorithms is humongous. However, for really small time-bounds it can be done. Here, we assume that all distinguishers $\mathcal{A} : \mathbb{Z}_{16} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ are implemented as Boolean circuits consisting of NOT, AND and OR gates and the corresponding time-complexity is just the number of logic gates. For example, $\mathcal{A}(x_3x_2x_1x_0) = x_1$ has time-complexity 0 and $\mathcal{A}(x_3x_2x_1x_0) = x_1 \vee \neg x_3 \wedge x_2$ has time-complexity 3.

- (a) Let \mathcal{X}_0 be a uniform distribution over \mathbb{Z}_{16} and let \mathcal{X}_1 be a uniform distribution over $\{0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14\}$. What is $\text{cd}_x^1(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_1)$?
 - (b) Find a uniform distribution \mathcal{X}_2 over some 8 element set such that $\text{cd}_x^1(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_2)$ is minimal. Compute $\text{cd}_x^2(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_2)$ and $\text{cd}_x^3(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_2)$.
 - (c) Find a uniform distribution \mathcal{X}_3 over some 8 element set such that $\text{cd}_x^1(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_3) + \text{cd}_x^1(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_3)$ is minimal.
 - (d) Estimate for which value of t the distances $\text{cd}_x^t(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_1)$ and $\text{sd}_x(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_1)$ coincide for all distributions over \mathbb{Z}_{16} .
- (★) Let the time-complexity of distinguishing algorithms be defined as in the previous exercise. Find disjoint distributions \mathcal{X}_0 and \mathcal{X}_1 over \mathbb{Z}_{256} such that their computational distance is minimal. Tabulate the results for time-bounds $0, 1, \dots, 16$. More precisely, find the optimal distribution pair for each time-bound and their computational distance for all time-bounds.