

1. Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be a finite group such that all elements  $y \in \mathbb{G}$  can be expressed as powers of  $g \in \mathbb{G}$ . Then the discrete logarithm problem is following. Given  $y \in \mathbb{G}$ , find a smallest integer  $x$  such that  $g^x = y$  in finite group  $\mathbb{G}$ . Discrete logarithm problem is known to be hard in general, i.e., all universal algorithms for computing logarithm run in time  $\Omega(\sqrt{|\mathbb{G}|})$ .
  - (a) Show that for a fixed group  $\mathbb{G}$ , there exists a Turing machine that finds the discrete logarithm for every  $y \in \mathbb{G}$  in  $O(\log_2 |\mathbb{G}|)$  steps.
  - (b) Show that for a fixed group  $\mathbb{G}$ , there exists an analogous Random Access Machine that achieves the same efficiency.
  - (c) Generalise the previous construction and show that for every fixed function  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^m$  there exists a Turing machine and a Random Access Machine such that they compute  $f(x)$  for every input  $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$  in  $O(n + m)$  steps.
  - (d) Are these constructions also valid in practise? Explain why these inconsistencies disappear when we formalise algorithms through universal computing devices.

**Hint:** What is the time-complexity of binary search algorithms?

2. Consider a classical Turing machine without internal working tapes, i.e., the Turing machine has a single one-sided (input) tape that initially contains inputs and must contain the desired output after the execution.
  - (a) Show that all sorting algorithms take at least  $\Omega(n^2)$  steps where  $n$  is the total length of inputs  $x_1, \dots, x_k$ . What is the time-complexity of best sorting algorithms? Explain this contradiction.
  - (b) Does the minimal time-complexity change if the Turing machine has internal working tapes?
  - (c) Sketch how one can simulate execution of Random Access Machines on a Turing machine. What is the corresponding overhead?
  - (★) Construct a set of tasks that can be implemented significantly more efficiently on Turing machines with  $\ell + 1$  working tapes than on Turing machines with  $\ell$  tapes.

**Hint:** It is well-known fact that reversing  $n$ -bit string takes  $\Omega(n^2)$  steps on a Turing machine without working tapes.

3. Bob has a biased coin such that in each throw the probability of getting a tail is  $\alpha$ . Additionally, assume that all coin tosses are independent.
  - (a) How many throws are needed on average to see the first tail?
  - (b) How many throws are needed on average to see  $k$  tails?

Now consider a scenario, where Bob must see at least two tails to succeed.

- (c) How many throws are needed to succeed with probability at least  $\frac{1}{2}$ ? Give a simple and safe upper bound on the number of throws.
- (d) Show that Bob must make at least  $\Omega(\frac{1}{\alpha})$  throws to achieve constant success probability in the process  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ .
- (e) How many throws are needed to achieve exponentially small failure probability  $\varepsilon$ ?

**Hints:** Use Markov's and Chebyshev's inequalities. Answers of the questions (c) and (e) are tightly connected.

4. A cryptosystem is a triple of algorithms  $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D})$  such that the equality  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}(m, k), k) = m$  holds for all messages  $m \in \mathcal{M}$  and keys  $k \leftarrow \mathcal{K}$ . Cryptosystem is perfectly secure if a ciphertext  $c$  reveals nothing about the corresponding message  $m$ , i.e.,  $\Pr[m|c] = \Pr[m]$ .
  - (a) Prove that cryptosystem is perfectly secure only if  $H(m|c) = H(m)$ . What about the implication to the other direction?
  - (b) Show that  $H(k, m, c) \geq H(m|c) + H(c)$ . For which enciphering algorithms does the equality  $H(k, m, c) = H(m|c) + H(c)$  hold?
  - (c) Show that  $H(k, m, c) = H(k) + H(c|k)$ . Conclude that cryptosystem is perfectly secure only if  $H(k) \geq H(m)$ .
  - (d) Show that  $H(k|c) = H(m) + H(k) + H(c|m, k) - H(c)$ . What does the result mean in practise?
5. Estimate how much time is needed to break the following three file encryption methods without using cipher-specific attacks.
  - (a) The file is encrypted with 128-bit AES cipher and the key is stored in a special file that is protected with a password. Namely, the key is encrypted with another key that is derived from the password.
  - (b) The file is encrypted with old 56-bit DES cipher and the key is stored in the special file that is encrypted with a public key. The corresponding secret key is stored in the ID card.
  - (c) The file is encrypted with 80-bit IDEA cipher and the key is stored in the special file that is encrypted with a public key. The corresponding secret key is stored in the TPM chip.
6. Let  $\mathcal{X}_0$  be a uniform distribution over  $\mathbb{Z}_{16}$  and let  $\mathcal{X}_1$  be a uniform distribution over  $\{0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14\}$ .
  - (a) What is the statistical difference between  $\mathcal{X}_0$  and  $\mathcal{X}_1$ ?
  - (b) What is the best distinguishing strategy if we can only compare the sample  $x$  with other values up to  $t$  times? Consider the same dependency for the AND and GT predicates.