

1. Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be a finite group such that all elements  $y \in \mathbb{G}$  can be expressed as powers of  $g \in \mathbb{G}$ . Then the discrete logarithm problem is following. Given  $y \in \mathbb{G}$ , find a smallest integer  $x$  such that  $g^x = y$  in finite group  $\mathbb{G}$ . Discrete logarithm problem is known to be hard in general, i.e., all universal algorithms for computing logarithm run in time  $\Omega(\sqrt{|\mathbb{G}|})$ .
  - (a) Show that for a fixed group  $\mathbb{G}$ , there exists a Turing machine that finds the discrete logarithm for every  $y \in \mathbb{G}$  in  $O(\log_2 |\mathbb{G}|)$  steps.
  - (b) Show that for a fixed group  $\mathbb{G}$ , there exists an analogous Random Access Machine that achieves the same efficiency.
  - (c) Generalise the previous construction and show that for every fixed function  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^m$  there exists a Turing machine and a Random Access Machine such that they compute  $f(x)$  for every input  $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$  in  $O(n + m)$  steps.
  - (d) Are these constructions also valid in practise? Explain why these inconsistencies disappear when we formalise algorithms through universal computing devices.

**Hint:** What is the time-complexity of binary search algorithms?

2. Consider a classical Turing machine without internal working tapes, i.e., the Turing machine has a single one-sided (input) tape that initially contains inputs and must contain the desired output after the execution.
  - (a) Show that all sorting algorithms take at least  $\Omega(n^2)$  steps where  $n$  is the total length of inputs  $x_1, \dots, x_k$ . What is the time-complexity of best sorting algorithms? Explain this contradiction.
  - (b) Does the minimal time-complexity change if the Turing machine has internal working tapes?
  - (c) Sketch how one can simulate execution of Random Access Machines on a Turing machine. What is the corresponding overhead?
  - ( $\star$ ) Construct a set of tasks that can be implemented significantly more efficiently on Turing machines with  $\ell + 1$  working tapes than on Turing machines with  $\ell$  tapes.

**Hint:** It is well-known fact that reversing  $n$ -bit string takes  $\Omega(n^2)$  steps on a Turing machine without working tapes.

3. Let  $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3, \mathcal{A}_5$  be algorithms for finding discrete logarithm such that the success probability  $\Pr [x \leftarrow \mathcal{A}_i(y) : y = g^x] \geq 7 \cdot \text{Adv}_{\mathbb{G}}^{\text{dl}}(\mathcal{A}_i)$  if  $\pi(y) = 1$ .

Find the advantage  $\text{Adv}_{\mathbb{G}}^{\text{dl}}(\mathcal{A})$  of the following adversary  $\mathcal{B}$

$$\mathcal{B}(y) \left[ \begin{array}{l} i \leftarrow_{\mathcal{U}} \{1, 2, 3\}, x \leftarrow \mathcal{A}_i(y) \\ \text{if } \pi_i(y) = 1 \text{ then} \\ \quad \left[ \begin{array}{l} \text{if } g^x \neq y \wedge \pi_4(y) = 1 \text{ then return } \mathcal{A}_4(y) \\ \text{else return } x \end{array} \right. \\ \text{else if } \pi_5(y) = 1 \text{ then return } \mathcal{A}_5(y) \\ \text{else return } \mathcal{A}_1(y) \end{array} \right.$$

provided that  $\Pr[y \leftarrow_{\mathcal{U}} \mathbb{G} : \pi_i(y) = 1] = \frac{1}{42+i}$  and  $\text{Adv}_{\mathbb{G}}^{\text{dl}}(\mathcal{A}_i) = i^2 \cdot \varepsilon$ .

4. Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be a finite  $q$ -element group such that all elements  $y \in \mathbb{G}$  can be expressed as powers of  $g \in \mathbb{G}$ .
  - (a) Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algorithm that always finds a discrete logarithm with the expected running-time  $\tau$ . Construct a  $t$ -time algorithm  $\mathcal{B}$  that fails with probability  $2^{-80}$  and its running-time  $t$  is linear in  $\tau$ .
  - (b) Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algorithm for finding the highest bit of discrete logarithm such that  $\Pr[\mathcal{A}(y) \text{ guesses correctly}] \geq \varepsilon > \frac{1}{2}$  for any  $y \in \mathbb{G}$ . Construct an algorithm that fails with probability  $2^{-80}$ .
  - (c) Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a discrete logarithm finder that uses algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  five times to get inputs for the aggregating algorithm  $\mathcal{C}$

$$\mathcal{B}(y) \left[ \begin{array}{l} x_1 \leftarrow \mathcal{A}_1(y), \dots, x_5 \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(y) \\ \text{return } \mathcal{C}_1(x_1, \dots, x_5) \end{array} \right.$$

The construction guarantees that  $\mathcal{C}$  succeeds in finding the discrete logarithm of  $y$  if all  $x_i$  are correct. Find the  $\text{Adv}_{\mathbb{G}}^{\text{dl}}(\mathcal{B})$  if

$$\Pr[y \leftarrow \mathbb{G} : \text{the output of } \mathcal{A}(y) \text{ is correct}] = \varepsilon .$$

**Hints:** Use Chebyshev's, Jensen's and Markov's inequalities.

5. A cryptosystem is a triple of algorithms  $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D})$  such that the equality  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}(m, k), k) = m$  holds for all messages  $m \in \mathcal{M}$  and keys  $k \leftarrow \mathcal{K}$ . Cryptosystem is perfectly secure if a ciphertext  $c$  reveals nothing about the corresponding message  $m$ , i.e.,  $\Pr[m|c] = \Pr[m]$ .
  - (a) Prove that cryptosystem is perfectly secure only if  $H(m|c) = H(m)$ . What about the implication to the other direction?
  - (b) Show that  $H(k, m, c) \geq H(m|c) + H(c)$ . For which enciphering algorithms does the equality  $H(k, m, c) = H(m|c) + H(c)$  hold?
  - (c) Show that  $H(k, m, c) = H(k) + H(c|k)$ . Conclude that cryptosystem is perfectly secure only if  $H(k) \geq H(m)$ .

- (d) Show that  $H(k|c) = H(m) + H(k) + H(c|m, k) - H(c)$ . What does the result mean in practise?
6. Estimate how much time is needed to break the following three file encryption methods without using cipher-specific attacks.
- The file is encrypted with 128-bit AES cipher and the key is stored in a special file that is protected with a password. Namely, the key is encrypted with another key that is derived from the password.
  - The file is encrypted with old 56-bit DES cipher and the key is stored in the special file that is encrypted with a public key. The corresponding secret key is stored in the ID card.
  - The file is encrypted with 80-bit IDEA cipher and the key is stored in the special file that is encrypted with a public key. The corresponding secret key is stored in the TPM chip.
7. There are many ways how to attack a standard e-banking system. First, an attacker can distribute malware that logs all kinds of passwords. Secondly, an attacker can send out forged e-mails that instruct bank customers to send passwords to a certain mail account. Thirdly, an attacker can attack the underlying cryptographic protection mechanism. When the attacker has a control over the account, he or she has to withdraw the money through an auxiliary account belonging to a mule. This poses a risk as mules do not always deliver the money to attacker's account.

Compute a success probabilities of all attack scenarios and find the one with highest expected gain, given only some estimates of conditional probabilities. Namely, let *Malware*, *Phishing* and *CryptoBreak* denote success in the first attack step. Let *Detect* denote the event that an unauthorised bank transfer or the attack itself is detected. Finally, let *Cheat* denote the event that mule cheats and the attacker does not get the money. Then

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \Pr[\text{Malware}] = 10^{-3} & \Pr[\text{Detect}|\text{Malware}] = 10^{-4} \\
 \Pr[\text{Phishing}] = 10^{-2} & \Pr[\text{Detect}|\text{Phishing}] = 1 \\
 \Pr[\text{CryptoBreak}] = 10^{-27} & \Pr[\text{Detect}|\text{CryptoBreak}] = 0 \\
 \Pr[\text{Detect}|\text{Draw } 100] = 10^{-2} & \Pr[\text{Cheat}|\text{Draw } 100] = 0 \\
 \Pr[\text{Detect}|\text{Draw } 1000] = 10^{-1} & \Pr[\text{Cheat}|\text{Draw } 1000] = 10^{-1} \\
 \Pr[\text{Detect}|\text{Draw } 10000] = 1 & \Pr[\text{Cheat}|\text{Draw } 1000] = 10^{-2}
 \end{array}$$

What is probability that the corresponding attacks remain unnoticed?

- (★) Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a solver for the Computational Diffie-Hellman problem with the advantage  $\text{Adv}_{\mathbb{G}}^{\text{cdh}}(\mathcal{A}) = \varepsilon > \frac{1}{2}$ . Now consider a success amplification by

majority voting

$$\mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{A}}(x, y) \left[ \begin{array}{l} \text{For } i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ do} \\ \left[ \begin{array}{l} a \xleftarrow{u} \mathbb{Z}_q, b \xleftarrow{u} \mathbb{Z}_q \\ z_i \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(xg^a, yg^b) \cdot x^{-b}y^{-a}g^{-ab} \end{array} \right. \\ \text{Output the most frequent value among } z_1, \dots, z_n. \end{array} \right.$$

Find a better lower bound of the advantage  $\text{Adv}_{\mathbb{G}}^{\text{cdh}}(\mathcal{B})$  than was given in the lecture. Show that your bound is asymptotically tight.

- (★) Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be a finite  $q$ -element group such that all elements  $y \in \mathbb{G}$  can be expressed as powers of  $g \in \mathbb{G}$ . Let  $\psi : \mathbb{Z}_q \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  be a non-trivial linear predicate, i.e.,  $\psi(x + y) = \psi(x) \oplus \psi(y)$  and  $\psi(x) \neq 0$  for some  $x$ . Show that if there exists an efficient procedure  $\mathcal{A}$  with the advantage

$$\text{Adv}_{\mathbb{G}}^{\psi}(\mathcal{A}) = \Pr[y \leftarrow \mathbb{G} : \mathcal{A}(y) = \psi(\log y)] > \frac{1}{2} ,$$

then it possible to compute discrete logarithm efficiently, i.e., the running-time of the construction depends linearly on the running-time of  $\mathcal{A}$  for fixed advantage  $\text{Adv}_{\mathbb{G}}^{\psi}(\mathcal{A})$ . How does the running-time depend on  $\text{Adv}_{\mathbb{G}}^{\psi}(\mathcal{A})$ ?

- (★) Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be a finite  $q$ -element group such that all elements  $y \in \mathbb{G}$  can be expressed as powers of  $g \in \mathbb{G}$ . Show that if there exists an efficient procedure  $\mathcal{A}$  that can always compute the highest bit of  $\log y$  then the discrete logarithm problem is easy. Extend the proof to the case where the advantage  $\text{Adv}(\mathcal{A}) = \Pr[y \leftarrow \mathbb{G} : \mathcal{A}(y) \text{ guesses correctly}] > \frac{1}{2}$ . How does the running-time depend on  $\text{Adv}(\mathcal{A})$ ?