



Disfluency Detection and Parsing of Transcribed Speech of Estonian

Kaili Müürisep

and

Helen Nigol

University of Tartu



The corpus

- 1,065,000 words
- 1,703 transcripts
- 100,000 words manually POS-tagged
- Different types of spoken language: everyday and institutional conversations, spontaneous and planned speech, monologues and dialogues
- Max. authentic situations



Example

H: [ee:] oskate 'öelda kas 'homme oleks võimalik pool'teljed
Renool 'ära vahetada.

V2: m 'homme 'kindlasti ei ole võimalik seda 'as[ja]

H: [ei]='ole=jah (1.0)

V2: jah (.) ja mis 'auto teil 'ültse [on {---} ei=no]

H: [RE'NOO RE'NOO. Renoo üks'teist.]

V2: ja=ja=ja mis prob'leem teil on. üks['teist]=ä

H: [ta]

H: jah tal on: mõlemad pool 'teljed nagu vaja 'ära vahetada.



Disfluencies

- **False starts**

A sentence is aborted before completion and a new sentence is started.

– I should it is time to finish

- **Repetition**

A word or a phrase is repeated twice or more.

– This this this will take a long time

- **Self-repair**

A word or a phrase is corrected by deleting, substituting or inserting words

– Please two tickets to Wroclaw no I mean to Poznan



Estonian CG Parser

- In the style of the first version of Constraint Grammar
- Designed for written language and then adapted for spoken language
- Tagset: SUBJ OBJ PRD ADVL +FMV -FMV +FCV -FCV P> <P Q> <Q NN> <NN AN> <AN PN> <PN etc.
- Ca 1200 syntactic constraints and 50 clause boundary detection rules.
- 88-90% unambiguous, 2% errors

Parsing (1)

```
Se # this
  see+0 // _P_ dem sg nom // **CLB @SUBJ @OBJ @ADVL @NN>
veranda # veranda
  veranda+0 // _S_ com sg nom // @SUBJ @OBJ @<NN @NN> @ADVL
on # is
  ole+0 // _V_ main indic pres ps3 sg // @+FMV
minu # my
  mina+0 // _P_ pers ps1 sg gen // @NN> @<NN @OBJ @P>
meelest # opinion
  meelest+0 // _K_ post #gen // @NN> @<NN @ADVL
maailma # world's
  maa_ilm+0 // _S_ com sg gen // @OBJ @NN> @<NN
kihvtim # coolest
  kihvti=m+0 // _A_ comp sg nom // @AN> @<AN @PRD
asi # thing
  asi+0 // _S_ com sg nom // @SUBJ @OBJ @PRD @ADVL @<NN
$.
  . // _Z_ Fst //
```



Parsing (2)

- (@w=s! (@P>) (0 Subst) (0 Gen)(1 Postp)(1 #Gen))
 - Select @P> if the word form is substantive genitive and the next word form is a postposition and accepts only genitive complements
- (@w=s0 (@OBJ) (NOT *-1 TrVerb)(NOT *1 TrVerb) **CLB)
 - Remove @OBJ if there is no transitive verb in left and right context inside inner clause boundaries



Parsing (3)

```
Se # this
  see+0 // _P_ dem sg nom // **CLB @NN>
veranda # veranda
  veranda+0 // _S_ com sg nom // @SUBJ
on # is
  ole+0 // _V_ main indic pres ps3 sg // @+FMV
minu # my
  mina+0 // _P_ pers ps1 sg gen // @P>
meelest # opinion
  meelest+0 // _K_ post #gen // @ADVL
maailma # world's
  maa_ilm+0 // _S_ com sg gen // @NN>
kihvtim # coolest
  kihvti=m+0 // _A_ comp sg nom // @AN>
asi # thing
  asi+0 // _S_ com sg nom // @PRD
$.
  . // _Z_ Fst //
```




Adaption of grammar

- New POS – special particles – *ahah*, *mhmh*, *hurraa*, *jess*, *ee*, *õõ*, *noh* etc.
- New syntactic labels:
 - @B – syntactically independent uninflected words;
 - @T – unknown syntactic function.
- compile new rules for the sentence internal clause boundary detection
- fix the syntactic constraints (slight modifications of less than 100 rules from 1200)

Preliminary results

- The word count in the corpus: 2194
- Errors: 68
- Recall: 96.9% (98.5%)
 - correctly found / ideal
- Precision: 89.5% (87.5%)
 - correctly found / all found
- Unambiguity rate: 92.9% (89.5%)



New corpora

- 8400 words training corpus
- 6700 words benchmark corpus
- 13000 words disfluency corpus
 - Repairs
 - Repetitions
 - False starts
 - Annotated: I should +/- it is time to finish
 - Normalized: it is time to finish
 - Input of the parser: I should it is time to finish



Detection of clause boundaries

- Parser considers a speech turn in dialogues as a unit of analysis (sentence).
- Pauses are marked by punctuation marks – parser uses them for detecting clause boundaries.
- Some particles and adverbs are used in the beginnings or ends of clauses.
- Addition of rules for special cases gave growth of 0.2% in correctness and 0.2% in unambiguity rate.



False starts

- False starts are detectable if they contain a verb:

H: ei ma: tahakski: umbes +/- ma uurin praegu 'hinda

H: no I would_like approximately +/- I am doing background search on prices

False starts

K #####

\$<s>

muna muna+0 // _S_ com sg nom // **CLB @SUBJ ;; egg

noh noh+0 // _B_ // @B ;; well

see see+0 // _P_ dem sg nom // @<NN ;; this

siia siia+0 // _D_ // @ADVL ;; here

asemele asemele+0 // _D_ // @ADVL ;; instead of

tuleks tule+ks // _V_ main cond pres ps3 sg ps af #FinV #Intr // @+FMV ;; should

leida leid+a // _V_ main inf #NGP-P // @OBJ ;; find

midagi miski+dagi // _P_ indef sg part // @OBJ ;; something

muud muu+d // _P_ indef sg part // @<NN ;; other

ma mina+0 // _P_ pers ps1 sg nom // **CLB-C @SUBJ ;; I

soovitaks soovita+ks // _V_ main cond pres ps1 sg ps af // @+FMV ;; suggest

hapukoort hapu_koor+t // _S_ com sg part // @OBJ ;; sour cream

\$. . // _Z_ Fst //

\$</s>



Repetitions

- miks miks miks peab
 - *why why why one should*
- aga sa aga sa peaksid
 - *but you but you should*
- noh see see on tähtis
 - *noh this this is important*

Self-repairs

- Fragments of words as markers of self-repairs

väga nor- väga normaalne noh väga naiss
very nor- very normal noh very nice

- Triggers of repair

V: ee ja programmis on=ää, (1.2) tändab
hinna=sees on=nüd=lennu´piletid

V: ee and in the program there are ää (1.2) this means the
flight tickets are in the price

- Undetectable

X: aga mingit firmat kes seal seda prügiveoga tegeleb

X: but any company who there this deals with garbage
collecting



Self-repairs (2)

väga [ADV L]

väga+0 // _D_ // **CLB @ADV L

!!!nor- [T]

!!! nor // _T_ #- //

!!!väga [ADV L]

!!! väga+0 // _D_ //

normaalne [PRD]

normaalne+0 // _A_ pos sg nom // @PRD

noh [B]

noh+0 // _B_ // @B

väga [ADV L]

väga+0 // _D_ // @ADV L

naiss [T]

naiss // _T_ // @T

Self-repairs (3)

väga [ADV L]

väga+0 // _D_ // **CLB @ADV L

nor- [T]

nor // _T_ #- // @REP

väga [ADV L]

väga+0 // _D_ // @REP

normaalne [PRD]

normaalne+0 // _A_ pos sg nom // @PRD

noh [B]

noh+0 // _B_ // @B

väga [ADV L]

väga+0 // _D_ // @ADV L

naiss [T]

naiss // _T_ // @T



Results

	Written	Before	Now
Words		2543	6717
Recall	98.5	97.3	97.7
Precision	87.5	89.2	90.4
Unambiguity	89.5	91.5	93.0

Results (2)

	Normalized Prec/Rec	Original Prec/Rec	Now Prec/Rec
Repairs	87.6/96.4	84.9/94.6	85.5/95.0
Repetitions	91.8/98.6	90.7/98.2	92.1/98.6
False starts	93.8/98.9	90.0/97.4	91.1/98.1



Conclusions

- Clause boundary detection is the key issue.
 - Automatic identification of disfluencies would help a lot.
 - Next challenge is the adaption of morphological disambiguator to spoken language and to focus more on self-repairs.
 - Look also the other structural incompletenesses of utterances.
-